Application No.: 10/76

Date of Amendment: November 9, 2005

Date Office Action Mailed: July 25, 2005

REMARKS

The Examiner's attention to the application is appreciated. Reconsideration is

respectfully requested. Claims 1-96 are now pending in the application.

**Support for Clarifying Claim Amendments** 

Independent Claim 1 is amended to delete the word "temporarily" in line 1. Support

for the removal of this limitation is found throughout the specification, including in

Paragraphs 11 and 35. No new matter has been added to the claims.

Independent Claims 1 and 46 are amended to clarify in step (b) introducing an

"aqueous treating fluid containing the water-soluble formation permeability reducing agent

into the one or more selected sections of the subterranean formation closest to the heel of the

horizontal wellbore so that the permeabilities and flows of water or water and hydrocarbons

or hydrocarbons therefrom are reduced." Support for this amendment is found throughout

the specification, including paragraphs 3, 10, and 11. No new matter has been added to the

claims.

New dependent claims 94-96 are supported by the disclosure and originally-filed

claims 6, 24, and 39, respectively. No new matter has been added to the claims.

**Response to Claim Objections** 

Claims 20 and 81 were objected to because each was missing a period at the end of

the claim. Claims 20 and 81 have been amended to correct this technical formality.

Claim 20 was objected to for lacking antecedent basis for the limitation "the first

aqueous treating fluid." Claim 20 has been amended to delete the word "first" to correct this

technical error.

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION OF JULY 25, 2005 - Page 17

Date of Amendment: November 9, 2005 Date Office Action Mailed: July 25, 2005

Claim 20 was objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being of improper dependent form, and more particularly, for being written with the same limitation as Claim 2. This rejection is respectfully traversed. Claim 2 includes a limitation directed to the amount of "hydrophobically modified water-soluble polymer" in the treatment fluid (emphasis added). Claim 20 includes a limitation directed to the amount of "hydrophilically modified water-soluble polymer" in the treatment fluid (emphasis added). Reconsideration of this rejection is respectfully requested, as the claim limitations are different.

## **Response to Claim Rejections**

Claims 1-11, 12-14, 16-17, 20-30, 32, 36-39, 41-55, 57-59, 61-62, 65-75, 77, 81-84, and 86-90 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weaver et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,532,052). Independent Claims 1 and 46 have been amended to clarify that in step (b) introducing the "aqueous treating fluid containing the water-soluble formation permeability reducing agent into the one or more selected sections of the subterranean formation closest to the heel of the horizontal wellbore so that the permeabilities and flows of water or water and hydrocarbons or hydrocarbons therefrom are reduced." It is respectfully noted that Weaver et al. does not teach or suggest the invention as claimed including the step regarding introducing an aqueous treating fluid into "one or more selected sections of the subterranean formation closest to the heel of the horizontal wellbore." Reconsideration of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 15, 18, 60, and 63 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weaver et al. in view of Eoff et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,497,283). It is respectfully argued that neither Weaver et al. nor Eoff et al. teach or suggest, separately or in combination, the invention as claimed including the step regarding introducing an aqueous treating fluid into RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION OF JULY 25, 2005 - Page 18

Date of Amendment: November 9, 2005 Date Office Action Mailed: July 25, 2005

"one or more selected sections of the subterranean formation closest to the heel of the horizontal wellbore." Reconsideration of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 40 and 85 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weaver et al. in view of Heier et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,380,137). It is respectfully argued that neither Weaver et al. nor Heier et al. teach or suggest, separately or in combination, the invention as claimed including the step regarding introducing an aqueous treating fluid into "one or more selected sections of the subterranean formation closest to the heel of the horizontal wellbore." Reconsideration of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 91-93 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weaver et al. in view of Chang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,708,974). It is respectfully argued that neither Weaver et al. nor Chang et al. teach or suggest, separately or in combination, the invention as claimed including the step regarding introducing an aqueous treating fluid into "one or more selected sections of the subterranean formation closest to the heel of the horizontal wellbore." Reconsideration of this rejection is respectfully requested.

New dependent claims 94-96 are supported by the disclosure and originally-filed claims 6, 24, and 37, respectively. It is respectfully argued that new dependent claims 94-96 are separately and independently patentable over claims 6, 24, and 37 in that the specific subject matter of the inventions defined by each of the new dependent claims 94-96 is not taught or suggested by the references cited against claims 6, 24, and 39.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 19, 31, 33-35, 64, 76, and 78-80 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In view of the clarifying

Date of Amendment: November 9, 2005

Date Office Action Mailed: July 25, 2005

amendment to independent claims 1 and 46, which are believed to place the independent

claims in conditions for allowance, the dependent claims 19, 31, 33-35, 64, 76, and 78-80

should now be in condition for allowance.

Conclusion

It is believed that claims 1-96 are in condition for allowance, and such action is

respectfully requested.

If a telephone interview would expedite the prosecution of this application, the

undersigned can normally be reached at the telephone number given below.

The Commissioner of Patents is hereby authorized to charge any fees or

overpayments to Deposit Account No. 08-0300. A duplicate copy of this fee authorization

sheet is enclosed for this purpose.

Dated: November 10, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Robert A. Kent

Registration No. 28,626

Halliburton Energy Services, Inc.

P. O. Drawer 1431

Duncan, OK 73536-0440

Telephone: 580-251-3125

Attorneys for Applicant